arXiv:1701.05420v1 [cs.NA] 19 Jan 2017

The tensor network representation of high order cumulant and algorithm for their calculation.

Krzysztof Domino*, Piotr Gawron†, and Łukasz Pawela‡

Institute of Theoretical and Applied Informatics,
Polish Academy of Sciences,
Bałtycka 5, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland

January 19, 2017

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a novel algorithm of calculating arbitrary order cumulants of multidimensional data. Since the n^{th} order cumulant can be presented in the form of an n-dimensional tensor, the algorithm is presented using the tensor network notation. The presented algorithm exploits the super–symmetry of cumulant and moment tensors. We show, that proposed algorithm highly decreases the computational complexity of cumulants calculation, compared to the naïve algorithm.

Keywords High order cumulants, tensor networks, non–normally distributed data, numerical algorithms

*kdomino@iitis.pl
†gawron@iitis.pl
‡lpawela@iitis.pl

1 Introduction – cumulant tensors.

1.1 The motivation

Cumulants of order $n \geq 3$, high order cumulants, have recently started to play an important role in the analysis of non–normally distributed multivariate data. The major potential application of high order cumulants is financial data analysis [1, 2, 3]. The analysis of large sets of financial data, such as large time series of many shares' prices, is a big challenge that requires an efficient method of high order cumulants calculation. Another application of high order cumulants is the analysis of hyper–spectral data, especially small target detection procedure [4]. High order cumulants have been also used for quantum noise investigation [5], or signal auto–correlation analysis [6]. To illustrate other potential applications of high order cumulants, let us mention that there are also other types of data that are not–normally distributed, such as: weather data [7, 8, 9], medical data [10], cosmological data [11] or data generated for machine learning purpose [12].

In most cases of no–normally distributed data analysis only cumulants of order $n \leq 4$ are used, since the computation complexity and the usage of computational resources increases strongly with the cumulants' order. Hence, the application of cumulants of order $n > 4$ is an almost unexplored field because of the lack of efficient methods of their calculation and storage. However, the use of cumulants of order $n > 4$ is required to analyse data where extreme events are crucial, such as financial data [1, 3, 13, 14]. In this paper we introduce an efficient method of high order cumulant's calculation that exploits the recursive relation between cumulants and the cumulants super–symmetric structure. To exploit the super–symmetry of cumulants tensors we use a block structure introduced in [15]. In general we structure a super–symmetric tensor into a tensor of blocks and store only one pyramid part of such tensor of blocks. This operation decreases significantly the computer memory usage necessary to store cumulants tensors.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the recursive formula for the calculation of any cumulant of order $n \geq 3$. In Subsections $2.1 - 2.2$ this formula is presented using tensor operations and some examples are presented graphically in tensor networks form. The general graphic representation is presented in Appendix B. In Section 3 we present the algorithm that can be implemented for the cumulant calculation. In Subsection 3.1 we discuss the structure of boxes that is used to store and calculate super–symmetric cumulant tensors. In Subsection 3.2 we present the algorithm implementation and compare it with the naïve algorithm. In Appendix A we present an alternative to [16] proof of the moment cumulant

recurrence relation.

1.2 Basic definitions

Let us start with a random process generating discrete multi–dimensional values. A sequence of T samples of a M dimensional random variable is represented in form of a matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T,M}$ such that

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,M} \\ \vdots & x_{t,m} & \vdots \\ x_{T,1} & \dots & x_{T,M} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

This matrix can be represented by a sequence of M marginal variables X_i

$$\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_M], \tag{2}$$

where

$$X_i = [x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{t,i}, \dots, x_{T,i}]^\intercal. \tag{3}$$

We recall the cumulant generating function [17, 18]

$$K : \mathbb{R}^M \to \mathbb{R} \quad K(\tau) = \log\left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \exp\left([x_{t,1} \cdots x_{t,m} \cdots x_{t,M}] \cdot \tau^\intercal\right)}{T}\right), \tag{4}$$

where $\tau = [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_M]$ and the dot represents scalar product.

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\underbrace{M \times \ldots \times M}_{n}} = \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$ be an n mode tensor, with elements $a_{i_1,\dots,i_n}$. The n-tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is its multi–index. Hereafter we will write a_I instead of $a_{i_1,\dots,i_n}$ when appropriate. List of symbols used in the paper is gathered in the Table 1.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$ be as in Eq. (1). We define the n'th moment as a tensor $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbf{X})$ with elements

$$m_I = E(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} x_{t,i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{t,i_n}. \tag{5}$$

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$ be as in Eq (1). We define the centred variable $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$ as a matrix

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = [\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_i, \dots, \tilde{X}_m], \text{ with } \tilde{X}_i = X_i - m_i(\mathbf{X}). \tag{6}$$

symbol	description / explanation
$I = (i_1, \cdots, i_n)$	n^{th} element multi–index
$\|I\| = n$	size of multi–index (number of elements)
$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$	matrix of T realisations of M dimensional random variable
$X_i = [x_{1,i}, \ldots, x_{T,i}]^\intercal$	vector of T realisations of i^{th} marginal random variable
$E(X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_n}) = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} x_{t,i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{t,i_n}$	expectational value operator
$\mathbf{A}$	matrix with elements a_{i_1,i_2}
$\mathcal{A}$	tensor with elements a_I
$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$	matrix of T realisations of M dimensional centred random variable
$\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$	the n^{th} cumulant tensor of $\mathbf{X}$ with elements c_I
$\mathcal{M}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$	the n^{th} central moment tensor of $\mathbf{X}$ with elements m_I

Table 1: Symbols used in the paper.

1.3 Examples

The first four cumulants can be represented using simple formulas [17, 18]. The first cumulant $\mathcal{C}_1$

$$\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{X}) = [c_1(\mathbf{X}), \ldots, c_M(\mathbf{X})] \tag{7}$$

is the mean vector with elements c_i such as

$$c_i(\mathbf{X}) = E(X_i). \tag{8}$$

The second cumulant C_2 is the symmetric covariance matrix

$$\mathbb{R}^{M \times 2} \ni \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1,1}(\mathbf{X}) & \ldots & c_{1,M}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \vdots & c_{i,j}(\mathbf{X}) & \vdots \\ c_{M,1}(\mathbf{X}) & \ldots & c_{M,M}(\mathbf{X}) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Using normalized random variable $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ its elements are easily computable

$$c_{i,j}(\mathbf{X}) = E\left(\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j\right) \tag{10}$$

The third cumulant $\mathcal{C}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{M\times 3}$ elements are:

$$c_{i,j,k}(\mathbf{X}) = E\left(\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_j\tilde{X}_k\right), \tag{11}$$

The fourth cumulant $\mathcal{C}_4 \in \mathbb{R}^{M\times 4}$ elements are:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,j,k,l}(\mathbf{X}) = & E\left(\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_j\tilde{X}_k\tilde{X}_l\right) - E\left(\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_j\right)E\left(\tilde{X}_k\tilde{X}_l\right) \\ & - E\left(\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_k\right)E\left(\tilde{X}_j\tilde{X}_l\right) - E\left(\tilde{X}_i\tilde{X}_l\right)E\left(\tilde{X}_k\tilde{X}_j\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Our goal is to represent equations such as Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) for higher orders cumulants in the tensor networks form and provide an efficient numerical algorithm for their calculation.

1.4 Normally and non–normally distributed data

Let us consider the M–dimensional normally distributed random variable $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, with Σ is being a positive–definite covariance matrix and μ being a mean value vector. In this case the characteristic function $\tilde{\phi}(\tau)$ and the cumulant generation function are

$$K(\tau) = \log(\tilde{\phi}(\tau)) = \tau^\intercal\mu + \frac{1}{2}\tau^\intercal\Sigma\tau, \quad \tilde{\phi}(\tau) = \exp\left(\tau^\intercal\mu + \frac{1}{2}\tau^\intercal\Sigma\tau\right), \tag{13}$$

It is easy to see that $K(\tau)$ is quadratic in τ and hence its third and higher derivatives over τ, that are elements of high order cumulants [17, 18], are zero, *i.e.*

$$\forall_{n\geq 3}, \forall_{I\in\{1,\ldots,M\}^n} \quad c_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}(\mathbf{X}) = 0. \tag{14}$$

If the data has a frequency distribution other than the multivariate normal distribution, the characteristic function may be expanded in more terms than quadratic and cumulants of order higher that two may have non–zero elements.

2 Cumulant calculation.

In this section we are going to present a recursive formula that can be used to calculate the n^{th} cumulant of $\mathbf{X}$ for, $n \geq 3$. For this purpose we start with some definitions, mainly concerning combinatorics. Let us denote the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ by $1 : n$, permutation of the multi–index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ as $\pi(I)$, and permutation of a tuple of multi–indices $(I_1, \ldots, I_\sigma)$, where $I_k = (i_{k_1}, \ldots, i_{k_r})$, as π'.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$ be a tensor indexed by the multi–index I. The tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is super–symmetric if it is invariant under any permutation π of the multi–index, *i.e.*

$$\forall_{I \in \{1,\dots,M\}^n} \ \ \forall_\pi \ \ \mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_{\pi(I)}. \tag{15}$$

Remark. For $n \geq 2$, each cumulant tensor $\mathcal{C}_n$ as well as each moment tensor $\mathcal{M}_n$ is super–symmetric [16].

Definition 2.2. Partition of the multi–index $P_\sigma(I)$. Let I be a multi–index, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, let $\sigma \in 1:n$. Consider the division of I into a σ-tuple of sub multi–indices: $P_\sigma(I) = (I_1, \dots, I_k, \dots, I_\sigma)$ where $I_k = (i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_r})$, such that $\bigcup_{k=1,r=1}^{\sigma,R_k} \{k_r\} = 1:n$ and $\{k_1, \dots, k_r\} \cap \{k'_1, \dots, k'_{r'}\} = \emptyset$ for $k \neq k'$.

Definition 2.3. Consider following partitions of the multi–index I: $P_\sigma(I) = (I_1, \dots, I_\sigma)$ and $P'_\sigma(I) = (I'_1, \dots, I'_\sigma)$. Let us introduce the equivalence relation:

$$P_\sigma(I) \sim P'_\sigma(I) \Leftrightarrow \Big(\exists_{\pi'} \ \forall_{k \in 1:\sigma} \ \exists_{\pi_k} (I'_1, \dots, I'_\sigma) = \pi' \left(\pi_1(I_1), \dots, \pi_\sigma(I_\sigma)\right) \Big). \tag{16}$$

This relation defines an abstraction class. Henceforth we will take only one representative of each abstraction class and denote it simply by $[P_\sigma(I)]$.

Remark. The number of partitions of set I of size n into σ parts is the Stirling Number of the second kind, [19]

$$|\{P_\sigma(I)\}| = S(n, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma!} \sum_{j=0}^{\sigma} (-1)^{(\sigma - j)} \binom{\sigma}{j} j^n. \tag{17}$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$, following [16] let us define

$$F : P_\sigma(I) \mapsto \prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\mathbf{X}). \tag{18}$$

Since cumulants are super–symmetric and multiplication is commutative, the following formulas are uniquely defined.

Example 2.1. *Let* $I = (i_1, i_2, i_3)$ *and* $\sigma = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} F(P_\sigma(I)) &= F(((i_1), (i_2, i_3))) + F(((i_2), (i_1, i_3))) + F(((i_3), (i_2, i_1))) = \\ &= c_{i_1}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot c_{i_2, i_3}(\mathbf{X}) + c_{i_2}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot c_{i_1, i_3}(\mathbf{X}) + c_{i_3}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot c_{i_2, i_1}(\mathbf{X}). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The following recursive relation can be used to relate moments and cumulants of the multidimensional random variable [16]:

$$m_I(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\mathbf{X}) \right). \tag{20}$$

A proof of formula Eq. (20) is presented in Appendix A.

Let us now study the case $\sigma = 1$ separately. Obviously $[P_1(I)] = I$ and $\sum_{[P_1(I)]} F(I) = F(I) = c_I(\mathbf{X})$, then

$$m_I(\mathbf{X}) = c_I(\mathbf{X}) + \sum_{\sigma=2}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\mathbf{X}) \right). \tag{21}$$

The n^{th} cumulant's element can be calculated given the n^{th} moment's element and elements of cumulants of order $r \in 1:n$

$$c_I(\mathbf{X}) = m_I(\mathbf{X}) - \sum_{\sigma=2}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\mathbf{X}) \right). \tag{22}$$

The cumulant of order 2 or higher of a non centred variable and a centred variable are equal. The cumulant of order 1 of a centred variable is equal to zero. Thus, hereafter we will only consider such partitions $P_\sigma(I)$ that $\forall_k \ |I_k| > 1$. Following this argumentation we can derive the final formula:

$$\begin{aligned} c_I(\mathbf{X}) = c_I(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) &= m_I(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) - \sum_{\sigma=2}^{\sigma_{\max}} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I^*}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \right) \\ &= m_I(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) - \sum_{\sigma=2}^{\sigma_{\max}} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I^*}(\mathbf{X}) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

If the size of I is even, it can be divided at most into $\sigma_{\max} = \frac{|I|}{2}$ parts of size 2; if size of I is odd, it can be divided at most into $\sigma_{\max} = \frac{|I|-1}{2}$ parts: $\frac{|I|-1}{2} - 1$ parts of size 2 and one part of size 3. Hence we can conclude that $\sigma_{\max} = \lfloor \frac{|I|}{2} \rfloor$.

Number of the elements of the sum $\sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]}$ equals to the number of set partitions of I into exactly σ parts, such that no part is of size 1:

$$|\{P_\sigma(I) : \forall_{I^* \in I} \ \ |I^*| \geq 2\}| = S'(n, \sigma). \tag{24}$$

That is some alternation of the Stirling number of the second kind, and can be computed as follows:

$$S'(n,\sigma) = \left(\underbrace{\sum_{n_1=2}^{n-2\sigma+2} \cdots \sum_{n_k=2}^{n-2\sigma+2k-\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i} \cdots}_{\sigma-1} \frac{n!}{n_1! \ldots n_k! \ldots (n-\sum_{i=1}^{(\sigma-1)} n_i)! \sigma!} \right), \tag{25}$$

where we counts a number of ways to divide n elements into subsets of length, $n_1 \geq 2, \ldots, n_k \geq 2, \ldots, n_\sigma \geq 2$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\sigma} n_k = n$, hence $n_\sigma = n - \sum_{k=1}^{\sigma-1} n_k$. The $\sigma!$ in denominator is to ensure that any permutation of $n_1, \ldots, n_\sigma$ is count only once.

Let us mention that $S'(4,2) = 3$, $S'(5,2) = 10$, $S'(6,2) = 25$ and $S'(6,3) = 10$. To compute each element of $\sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]}$ in Eq. (23), we need $\sigma - 1$ multiplications, hence to compute each element of $\sum_{\sigma=2}^{\sigma_{max}}$ we need $S'(n,\sigma) \cdot (\sigma - 1)$ multiplications. Finally the number of multiplications to compute the second term of the RHS of Eq. (23) is

$$\#\ \text{mult}(n) = \sum_{\sigma=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} S'(n,\sigma) \cdot (1-\sigma) < \sum_{\sigma=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} S(n,\sigma) \cdot (1-\sigma) \tag{26}$$

for each I. However the computation of m_I requires $T \cdot (n-1)$ multiplications, what is dominant if T is large and n is small. For the computational complexity purpose, let us see that: $\#\ \text{mult}(4) = 3$, $\#\ \text{mult}(5) = 10$, $\#\ \text{mult}(6) = 55$, $\#\ \text{mult}(7) = 266$, $\#\ \text{mult}(8) = 1414$.

2.1 Cumulant in the form of the tensor network.

In the following Subsection we are going to present the cumulant calculation algorithm using operations on tensors. This representation and the graphic representation introduced in Subsection 2.2 provides a good introduction for the algorithm presented in Section 3.

Definition 2.5. The unit tensor $\mathbb{1}_{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times n}$ is the tensor with elements $a_{1,\ldots,1} = a_{2,\ldots,2} = \ldots = a_{T,\ldots,T} = 1$ and all other elements equal to zero.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k \times \cdots \times T_n}$ and let $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times T_k}$. The tensor-matrix multiplication in the k^{th} mode is given by:

$$\mathcal{B}_{i_1,\ldots,i_{k-1},j_1,i_{k+1},\ldots i_n} = (\mathcal{A} \times_k \mathbf{Y})_{i_1,\ldots,i_{k-1},j_1,i_{k+1},\ldots i_n} = \sum_{t_k=1}^{T_k} a_{t_1,\ldots,t_n} y_{j_1,t_k}, \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{T_1 \times \ldots \times T_{k-1} \times M \times T_{k+1} \times \ldots \times T_n}$

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times n}$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times T}$. The tensor-matrix product in modes 1 to n is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} = (\mathcal{A} \times_{1,\ldots,n} \mathbf{Y})_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} = \underbrace{\sum_{t_1=1}^{T} \cdots \sum_{t_n=1}^{T}}_{n} a_{t_1,\ldots,t_n} \underbrace{y_{i_1,t_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{i_n,t_n}}_{n}, \tag{28}$$

where $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$ be as in Eq (6). The n^{th} central moment's of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ element m_I is

$$\begin{aligned} m_I(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\tilde{x}_{t,i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \tilde{x}_{t,i_n}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t_1,\ldots,t_n=1}^{T} \mathbb{1}_{(n)_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}} \underbrace{\tilde{x}_{i_1,t_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \tilde{x}_{i_n,t_n}}_{n} = \\ &= \frac{1}{T} (\mathbb{1}_{(n)} \times_{1,\ldots,n} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal)_I. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The whole central moment tensor is

$$\mathbb{R}^{M \times n} \ni \mathcal{M}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = \frac{1}{T} (\mathbb{1}_{(n)} \times_{1,\ldots,n} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal). \tag{30}$$

Example 2.2. *The second cumulant matrix and the third cumulant tensor are central moments, and can be represented as*

$$\mathbb{R}^{M \times 2} \ni \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{M}_2(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = \frac{1}{T} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal \tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tag{31}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{M \times 3} \ni \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{M}_3(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{1}_{(3)} \times_{1,2,3} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal. \tag{32}$$

Definition 2.8. Consider tensors $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{T_1 \times \ldots \times T_k \times \ldots \times T_{n_1}}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{K_1 \times \ldots \times K_k \times \ldots \times K_{n_2}}$ indexed by $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_{n_1})$ and $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_{n_2})$ respectively, their outer product $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{T_1 \times \ldots \times T_k \times \ldots \times T_{n_1} \times K_1 \times \ldots \times K_k \times \ldots \times K_{n_2}}$ defined as

$$\forall_{I,J} \quad a_{(I,J)} = b_I \cdot c_J, \tag{33}$$

where (I, J) denotes index $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n_1}, j_1, \ldots, j_{n_2})$.

If $\mathbf{B}$ is a symmetric matrix, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{B}$ is partially symmetric, $a_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4} = a_{i_2,i_1,i_3,i_4} = a_{i_1,i_2 i_4,i_3} = a_{i_3,i_4,i_1,i_2}$, but in general $a_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4} \neq a_{i_1,i_3,i_2,i_4} \neq a_{i_1,i_4,i_3,i_2}$.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$ be indexed by $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$. Let $(1, \ldots, n)$ be a tuple of its modes. For given σ consider $P_\sigma(1:n)$. Now we can define a sum of outer products of $\mathcal{B}^{(n_1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{(n_k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n_k}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{(n_\sigma)} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n_\sigma}$ as

$$a_I = \sum_{\zeta \in [P_\sigma(I)]} \prod_{I^* \in \zeta} b^{(n_k)}_{I^*}, \tag{34}$$

further we will use the following abbreviation:

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \mathcal{B}^{(n_1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{B}^{(n_k)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{B}^{(n_\sigma)} \tag{35}$$

Example 2.3. *Consider a matrix* $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 2}$*, and a tensor* $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 4}$ *such that:*

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{[P_2(i_1,\ldots,i_4)]} \mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{B}, \tag{36}$$

then $a_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4} = b_{i_1,i_2} b_{i_3,i_4} + b_{i_1,i_3} b_{i_2,i_4} + b_{i_1,i_4} b_{i_3,i_2}$.

Consider $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \mathcal{C}_{n_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{C}_{n_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{C}_{n_\sigma}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{n_k} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n_k}$ are supper–symmetric cumulants, such that $\mathcal{C}_{n_k} = \mathcal{C}_{n_{k'}}$ iff $n_k = n_{k'}$. Due to the supper–symmetry, permutations of indices inside each $\mathcal{C}_{n_k}$ do not matter. Further, since cumulant's tensors are determined by the size of their muti–index:

$$c_{i_1,\ldots i_{n_1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot c_{j_1,\ldots j_{n_2}} = c_{j_1,\ldots j_{n_2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot c_{i_1,\ldots i_{n_1}}. \tag{37}$$

Only permutations of sub multi–index inside each $\mathcal{C}_{n_k}$ as well as the permutation of a tuple of sub multi–indices, such as in Eq. (37) are inside the class of abstraction of multi–index partitions introduced in Def. 2.3. Those permutations do not change the outcome of $\mathcal{C}_{n_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{C}_{n_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{C}_{n_\sigma}$. Since we sum over classes of abstraction, the outcome $\mathcal{A}$ is super-symmetric.

Example 2.4. *Consider the* 4^{th} *cumulant element:*

$$c_I(\mathbf{X}) = m_I(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) - \sum_{[P_2(I)]} c_{I_1}(\mathbf{X}) c_{I_2}(\mathbf{X}), \tag{38}$$

where $I_1, I_2 \in [P_2(I)]$ *and* $|I_1| = |I_2| = 2$*. Noting* $I = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$

$$c_I(\mathbf{X}) = m_I(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) - c_{i_1,i_2}(\mathbf{X}) c_{i_3,i_4}(\mathbf{X}) - c_{i_1,i_3}(\mathbf{X}) c_{i_2,i_4}(\mathbf{X}) - c_{i_1,i_4}(\mathbf{X}) c_{i_2,i_3}(\mathbf{X}), \tag{39}$$

using tensor notation

$$\mathbb{R}^{M \times 4} \ni \mathcal{C}_4(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{1}_{(4)} \times_{1,2,3,4} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal - \sum_{[P_2(i_1,\ldots,i_4)]} \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}) \circ \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}). \tag{40}$$

2.2 The graphic representation

Cumulant formulas Eq. (31, 32, 40, 63, 64) can be presented in the graphic, tensor network form.

Definition 2.10. Let us define the second cumulant matrix, using the graphic notation:



where (1) and (2) enumerates modes in the "tensor" notation sense [20].

Remark. Recall $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}) = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$:



Definition 2.11. Recalling Eq. (29) one can represent the central moment of $\mathbf{X}$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \frac{1}{T}(\mathbb{1}_{(n)} \times_{1,\cdots,n} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal). \tag{41}$$

The tensor network for the central moment is shown in Fig. 1, numbers $(1), \ldots, (n)$ numerates tensors mode.



Figure 1: The n^{th} central moment in tensor network form.

Definition 2.12. The outer product of matrices in the graphic representation. The outer product of a matrix $\mathcal{C}_2$ by itself, can be represented in the following graphical form



Since $\mathcal{C}_2$ is symmetric, the switch between (1) and (2) as well as between (3) and (4) does not matter. Moreover the switch in pairs between $(1, 2)$ and $(3, 4)$ does not matter as well. Conversely, the switch between (1) and (3) as well as between (2) and (4) does matters.

Example 2.5. *Consider the sum of matrix outer product of two symmetric 2^{nd} cumulant matrix Def. 2.3. It can be represented in the following graphic form*



Example 2.6. *The 4^{th} cumulant tensor is equal to the 4^{th} central moment minus the sum of outer products of $\mathcal{C}_2$ Eq. (40). The 4^{th} cumulant tensor can be represented in the following graphic form.*



3 The algorithm

3.1 The storage of super–symmetric tensors in box structures

In this section we are going to follow the idea of the use of boxes to store symmetric matrices and super–symmetric tensors [15]. To make the demonstration easy to approach, we will focus on the matrix case at first. Suppose we have a symmetric matrix $\mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{M\times 2}$. We can store the matrix in blocks and store only upper triangular blocks,

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{C}_2)_{11} & (\mathcal{C}_2)_{12} & \cdots & (\mathcal{C}_2)_{1g} \\ 0 & (\mathcal{C}_2)_{22} & \cdots & (\mathcal{C}_2)_{2g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & (\mathcal{C}_2)_{gg} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{42}$$

where $g = \lceil \frac{M}{s} \rceil$. Each block $(\mathcal{C}_2)_{ij} : i \leq j$ and $j < g$ is of size $s \times s$. Blocks $(\mathcal{C}_2)_{ig} : i < g$ are of size $s\times(M-s(g-1))$, and block, $(\mathcal{C}_2)_{gg}$ if of size $(M-s(g-1)) \times (M - s(g-1))$. This representation, albeit redundant in the diagonal blocks, is very useful for computational purposes and implementation.

The representation can be easily extended for the purpose of super–symmetric tensors. Suppose $\mathcal{C}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{M\times n}$ is a super–symmetric tensor. Information can be stored in boxes $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{i_1,\cdots,i_n} \in \mathbb{R}^{s_{i_1}\times\cdots\times s_{i_n}}$. If indices are not sorted, boxes are redundant and hence equal to zero. As in the matrix case $\forall_j s_{i_j} = s$ if $i_j < g$ and $s_{i_j} = M - s(g-1)$ if $i_j = g$. Importantly, for large n the computer memory and calculation power can be saved significantly using boxes, this will be discussed in depth in the next sections.

3.2 Algorithms

In this subsection we present the general algorithm that can be implemented for cumulant calculation. To make algorithms easy to approach we assume, that each box is squared of size s^n i.e. $s|M$ and

$$g = \frac{M}{s}. \tag{43}$$

The general case is more complicated, but general algorithms are supplied in the Julia implementation supplement, that is available on GitHub [21, 22]. Presented algorithms are based on Eq. (65) in Appendix B.

Algorithm 1 computes all partitions of set $1 : n$ into σ sub–sets, such that in each sub–set there are at least 2 elements. Algorithm 2 computes a

single block of a sum of outer product of cumulants. Algorithm 3 computes a sum of outer products of cumulants structured in blocks, it uses Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2. Further, Algorithm 4 computes a single block of moment's tensor. Algorithm 5 computes a moment's tensor structured in blocks, it uses Algorithm 4. Finally Algorithm 6 computes the n^{th} cumulant cumulant structured in blocks, given n^{th} moment and cumulants of order $2, \ldots, (n-2)$. It uses Algorithm 3 and Algorithm 5.

Algorithm 1 all partitions of set $1:n$ into σ blocks such that in each block there are at least 2 elements.

Input: n – Int, size of sequence; σ – Int, number of subsets.
Output: p – array of partitions;
function INDPART(n, σ)
    $i = 1$
    for $part \in$ partitions$((1:n), \sigma)$ **do** ▷ partitions of set $1:n$ into σ blocks of size ≥ 2, modified algorithm 7.2.1.5H [23],
        $p[i] = part$
        $i+ = 1$
    end for
    return p
end function

Algorithm 2 sum of outer products of blocks, used to perform Algorithm 3.

Input p – Vec(Vec(Int)), partition; $\mathcal{C}_{n_1} \in \mathbb{R}^{s^{\times n_1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n_\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{s^{\times n_\sigma}}$ – Arrays(Float), blocks.
Output $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{s^{\times n}}$ – Arrays(Float), single block of the sum of outer products.
function OUTERPRODBLOCKS$(p, \mathcal{C}_{n_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n_\sigma})$
    for $i_1 \leftarrow 1$ to $s, \ldots, i_n \leftarrow 1$ to s **do**
        $n = n_1 + \ldots + n_\sigma$
        for $j \leftarrow 1$ to σ **do**
            $I_j^* = (i_{p[j,1]}, \ldots, i_{p[j,n_j]})$,
        end for
        $b_{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)} = c_{I_1^*} \cdot \ \ldots \ \cdot c_{I_\sigma^*}$
    end for
    return $\mathcal{B}$
end function

Algorithm 3 sum of outer products of cumulants, the inner sum in second term of RHS of Eq. (65) in Appendix B.

Input n – Int, dims (order) of output; σ – Int; $\mathcal{C}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n-2}$ – Block Structures [15], cumulants; g – Int, see Eq. (43).
Output $\mathcal{B}$ – Block Structure, n^{th} dims outer product.
function OUTERPODCUM$(n, \sigma, \mathcal{C}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n-2})$
    for $i_1 \leftarrow 1$ to $g, i_2 \leftarrow i_1$ to $g, \ldots, i_n \leftarrow i_{n-1}$ to g **do**
        for $p \in$ INDPART(n, σ) **do**
            $r = \text{map}(\text{length}, \text{p})$
            for $j \leftarrow 1$ to σ **do**
                $I_j^* = (i_{p[j,1]}, \ldots, i_{p[j,n_j]})$,
            end for
            $\mathcal{B}_{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)} +=$ OUTERPRODBLOKS $\left(p, (\mathcal{C}_{r_1})_{I_1^*}, \ldots, (\mathcal{C}_{r_\sigma})_{I_\sigma^*}\right)$
        end for
    end for
    return $\mathcal{B}$
end function

Algorithm 4 a single block of central moment, used to perform Algorithm 5

Input: $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times s}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times s}$ – Matrices(Float), data for a block.
Output: $\mathcal{M}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{s^{\times n}}$ – Array(Float), a block of the moment tensor.
function MOMENTSEG$(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_n)$
    for $i_1 \leftarrow 1$ to $s, \ldots, i_n \leftarrow 1$ to s **do**
        $m_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1)_{t,i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_n)_{t,i_n}$
    end for
    return $\mathcal{M}_n$
end function

Algorithm 5 n^{th} moment, the first term of RHS of Eq. (65) in Appendix B

Input: $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$ – Matrix(Float), data; n – Int, order; s – Int, block's size.
Output: $\mathcal{M}_n$ – Block Structure, n^{th} moment tensor.
function MOMENT($\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, n, s$)
    $g = M/s$
    for $i \leftarrow 1$ to g **do**
        $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\,[\ldots, ((i-1)\cdot s + 1) : (i \cdot s)]$
    end for
    for $i_1 \leftarrow 1$ to $g, i_2 \leftarrow i_1$ to $g, \ldots, i_n \leftarrow i_{n-1}$ to g **do**
        $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}$ = MOMENTSEG $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{i_1}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{i_n})$
    end for
    return $\mathcal{M}_n$
end function

Algorithm 6 n^{th} cumulant, using Eq. (65) in Appendix B

Input: $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$ – Matrix(Float), centred data; $n \geq 3$ – Int, order; $\mathcal{C}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n-2}$ – Block Structures, cumulants.
Output: $\mathcal{C}_n$ – the n^{th} cumulant in the block structure form.
function CUMULANTN($\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, n, s, \mathcal{C}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n-2}$)
    $\mathcal{C}_n$ = MOMENT($\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, n, s$)
    for $\sigma \leftarrow 2$ to $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ **do**
        $\mathcal{C}_n -=$ OUTERPRODCUM($n, \sigma, \mathcal{C}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n-2}$)
    end for
    return $\mathcal{C}_n$
end function

3.2.1 Memory usage

For simplicity, assuming that each box is hypercube of size s^n *i.e.* $s|M$ and $g = \frac{M}{s}$ there are $\binom{g+n-1}{g}$ non–zero boxes of size s^n [15]. The proposed storage scheme requires the storage of $s^n \cdot \binom{g+n-1}{g}$ elements. Recall that $s^n \cdot \binom{g+n-1}{g} \approx \frac{M^n}{n!}$ for $n \ll M$ [15]. Since usually we calculate cumulants of order < 10 and we deal with high dimensional data, $M \geqslant 100$, we need approximately $\frac{1}{n!}$ of the computer storage space relatively to the naïve storage scheme.

3.2.2 Number of operations, central moment calculation

Consider input data $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times M}$, as in Eq. (1). The n'th central moment $\mathcal{M}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{X}})$ is a tensor of size M^n. For each tensor element calculation we need $T \cdot (n-1)$ multiplications. The naïve algorithm would perform such operations for each tensor's element $T \cdot (n-1) \cdot M^n$ multiplications.

Assuming $s|M$ Algorithms 4 and 5 require approximately $T \cdot (n-1) \cdot s^n \cdot \binom{g+n-1}{g} \approx T \cdot (n-1) \cdot \frac{M^n}{n!}$ multiplications. The number of operations decreases by factor of $n!$, provided that $n \ll M$.

3.2.3 Number of operations, cumulants calculation

Referring to Eq. (26) and the discussion below, we can conclude that one can compute the 4^{th} cumulant's element using approximately $3 \cdot T$ multiplications, and the 5^{th} cumulant's element using approximately $4 \cdot T$ multiplications, using proposed by authors algorithms. To compute a whole 4^{th} cumulant's tensor one needs $\approx 3 \cdot T \frac{M^4}{4!}$ multiplications, and to compute a whole 5^{th} cumulant's tensor one needs $\approx 4 \cdot T \frac{M^5}{5!}$ multiplications.

If we consider the 4^{th} cumulant, the naïve method presented in Eq. (12) requires $9 \cdot T$ multiplications for a single tensor's element and $9 \cdot T \cdot M^4$ multiplications for a whole tensor. The number of operations is decreased approximately by the factor of $3 \cdot 4! = 72$.

The naïve formula for the 5^{th} cumulant

$$c_{i_1,\ldots,i_5}(\mathbf{X}) = E\left(\tilde{X_{i_1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \tilde{X_{i_5}}\right) - \underbrace{E\left(\tilde{X_{i_1}}\tilde{X_{i_2}}\right)E\left(\tilde{X_{i_3}}\tilde{X_{i_4}}\tilde{X_{i_5}}\right) - \cdots}_{\times 10} \quad (44)$$

requires approximately $34 \cdot T \cdot M^5$ multiplications to compute a whole tensor. Here the number of operations is decreased by approximately $\frac{34}{4} \cdot 5! = 900$.

3.3 Implementation

Algorithms are implemented in the Julia programming language [24, 25]. The Julia is the high level language in which multi–dimensional tables are first class types [26]. For the purpose of algorithms two modules were created. In the first module `SymmetricTensors.jl` [21] the block structure of super–symmetric tensors was implemented. In the second module `Cumulants.jl` [22] we used the block structure to compute and store cumulants tensors. The implementation of cumulants calculation makes use of multiprocessing primitives that are build-into Julia programming language.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we present a method of calculation of arbitrary order cumulants of multidimensional data. It is an important issue since cumulant analysis can be applied for the non–normally distributed data, where the mean vector and the covariance matrix do not contain all information about the data. We have introduced the tensor network graphical notation and the general computer algorithm that can be used for arbitrary order cumulant calculations. The algorithm uses the box structure to store super–symmetric tensors and hence reduces the memory usage and the calculation power necessary for calculations making the algorithm efficient. The proposed algorithm is faster than a naïve one by 2 or 3 orders of magnitudes if 4^{th} or 5^{th} cumulant tensors are calculated for large data sets. For cumulants of order > 5 the speed-up is even larger, since naïve formulas becomes much more complicated.

A Recurrence formula for cumulants calculations

Consider the cumulant generation function $K(t)$, see Eq. (4), that is related to the moment generation function $M(t)$

$$K(\tau) = \log(M(\tau)). \tag{45}$$

The element of the moment's tensor at the multi–index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ is:

$$m_I(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\tau_{i_1}, \ldots, \partial\tau_{i_n}} M(\tau)\Big|_{\tau=[0,\ldots,0]}, \tag{46}$$

and the element of the cumulant's tensor is

$$c_I(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\tau_{i_1}, \ldots, \partial\tau_{i_n}} K(\tau)\Big|_{\tau=[0,\ldots,0]}. \tag{47}$$

For simplicity, in this section, we use the following notation $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i}$, $\partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \tau_{i_1},\ldots,\partial \tau_{i_n}}$ and drop the random variable notation $c = c(\mathbf{X})$. The element of the first cumulant at index i is

$$c_i(\tau)\big|_{\tau=0} = \partial_i K(\tau)\Big|_{\tau=0} = \partial_i \log(M(\tau))\Big|_{\tau=0} = \left.\frac{\partial_i M(\tau)}{M(\tau)}\right|_{\tau=0}. \tag{48}$$

Near $\tau = 0$

$$\partial_i M(\tau) = c_i(\tau) M(\tau). \tag{49}$$

Similarly second cumulant's element at indices i_1, i_2 near $\tau = [0, 0]$:

$$c_{i_2,i_1}(\tau) = c_{i_1,i_2}(\tau) = \partial_{i_2} \frac{\partial_{i_1} M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} = \frac{M(\tau)\partial_{i_2 i_1} M(\tau) - \partial_{i_2} M(\tau)\partial_{i_1} M(\tau)}{M^2(\tau)}, \tag{50}$$

$$c_{i_2,i_1}(\tau) = \frac{\partial_{i_2 i_1} M(\tau) - M(\tau) c_{i_2}(\tau) c_{i_1}(\tau)}{M(\tau)} = \frac{\partial_{i_2 i_1} M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} - c_{i_2}(\tau) c_{i_1}(\tau), \tag{51}$$

$$\partial_{i_2 i_1} M(\tau) = M(\tau)(c_{i_2}(\tau) c_{i_1}(\tau) + c_{i_2,i_1}(\tau)). \tag{52}$$

Theorem A.1. *Given the cumulant generation function of multivariate random variable* $\mathbf{X}$

$$c_I(\tau) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \tau_{i_1}, \ldots, \partial \tau_{i_n}} K(\tau) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \tau_{i_1}, \ldots, \partial \tau_{i_n}} \log\left(M(\tau)\right), \tag{53}$$

where $M(\tau)$ *is a moment generation function and* $I = [i_1, \ldots, i_n]$ *is multi–index. For each* $|I| \leq 2$ *the following relation holds:*

$$\frac{\partial_I M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right), \tag{54}$$

where $\partial_I = \partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}$.

Proof. The relation holds for $|I| = 2$, see Eq. (51). Now assume that Eq. (54) holds for $|I| = n$. Differentiating the LHS of Eq. (54) we have

$$\partial_{i_{n+1}} \frac{\partial_I M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} = \frac{M(t)\partial_I \partial_{i_{n+1}} M(\tau) - \partial_{i_{n+1}} M(\tau)\partial_I M(\tau)}{M^2(\tau)} \tag{55}$$

then using Eq. (56)

$$\partial_I M(\tau) = M(\tau) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right) \quad \partial_{i_{n+1}} M(\tau) = \left(c_{i_{n+1}}(\tau)\right) M(\tau), \tag{56}$$

$$\partial_{i_{n+1}} \frac{\partial_I M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} = \frac{\partial_{(I \cup i_{n+1})} M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} - (c_{i_{n+1}}(\tau)) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right). \quad (57)$$

Suppose $I' = (i'_1, \ldots, i'_n)$ is a multi–index and i_{n+1} a single index. We create a following index $I = (i'_1, \ldots, i'_n, i_{n+1})$ of size $|I| = |I'| + 1$. Differentiating Eq. (53):

$$\partial_{i_{n+1}} c_{I'}(\tau) = c_I(\tau), \quad (58)$$

Therefore the differentiation of the RHS of Eq. (54) adds i_{n+1} to each I_*

$$\partial_{i_{n+1}} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I),\ I_{*j} \leftarrow (I_{*j}, i_{n+1})} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right) \quad (59)$$

Finally after differentiation RHS of Eq. (54) equals LHS of Eq. (54)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial_{(I,i_{n+1})} M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} - (c_{(i_{n+1})}(\tau)) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I)} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right) \\ &\qquad = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{|I|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I)]} \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I),\ I_{*j} \leftarrow (I_{*j}, i_{n+1})} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Finally we get

$$\frac{\partial_{(I,i_{n+1})} M(\tau)}{M(\tau)} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{|(I,i_{n+1})|} \sum_{[P_\sigma(I,i_{n+1})]} \left(\prod_{I_* \in P_\sigma(I,i_{n+1})} c_{I_*}(\tau) \right) \quad (61)$$

□

Recall $M(\tau)\big|_{\tau=[0,\ldots,0]} = 1$ and $m_I = \partial_I M(\tau)\big|_{\tau=[0,\ldots,0]}$ and $c_I(\tau)\big|_{\tau=[0,\ldots,0]} = c_I$. Eq. (54) at $\tau = [0, \ldots, 0]$ gives Eq. (20).

B General example of tensor network

Example B.1. *Consider the 5^{th} cumulants element c_I, using Eq. (23)*

$$c_I(\mathbf{X}) = m_I(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) - \sum_{[P_2(I)]} c_{I_1}(\mathbf{X}) c_{I_2}(\mathbf{X}). \quad (62)$$

where $I_1, I_2 \in [P_2(I)]$, and $|I_1| = 2$ and $|I_2| = 3$. In tensor notation:

$$\mathbb{R}^{M \times 5} \ni \mathcal{C}_5(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{1}_{(5)} \times_{1,\ldots,5} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\intercal - \sum_{[P_2(i_1,\ldots,i_5)]} \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}) \circ \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{X}). \quad (63)$$

Example B.2. *Consider the* 6^{th} *cumulant:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^{M\times 6} \ni \mathcal{C}_6(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{1}_{(6)} \times_{1,\dots,6} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{\intercal} - \sum_{[P_2(i_1,\dots,i_6)]} \mathcal{C}_{n_1}(\mathbf{X}) \circ \mathcal{C}_{n_2}(\mathbf{X}) \\ - \sum_{[P_3(i_1,\dots,i_6)]} \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}) \circ \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}) \circ \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{X}).\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Example B.3. *Consider the* n^{th} *cumulant:*

$$\mathbb{R}^{M\times n} \ni \mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{1}_{(n)} \times_{1,\dots,n} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{\intercal} - \sum_{\sigma=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{[P_\sigma(i_1,\dots,i_n)]} \mathcal{C}_{n_1}(\mathbf{X}) \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{C}_{n_\sigma}(\mathbf{X}) \right). \tag{65}$$

Graphically it can be represented as follows:



Acknowledgements

The research was partially financed by the National Science Centre, Poland – project number 2014/15/B/ST6/05204. The authors would like to thank Adam Glos for revising the manuscript.

References

[1] J. C. Arismendi and H. Kimura, "Monte Carlo Approximate Tensor Moment Simulations," *Available at SSRN 2491639*, 2014.

[2] E. Jondeau, E. Jurczenko, and M. Rockinger, "Moment component analysis: An illustration with international stock markets," *Swiss Finance Institute Research Paper*, no. 10-43, 2015.

[3] K. Domino, "The use of the multi-cumulant tensor analysis for the algorithmic optimisation of investment portfolios," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol. 467, pp. 267–276, 2017.

[4] X. Geng, K. Sun, L. Ji, H. Tang, and Y. Zhao, "Joint skewness and its application in unsupervised band selection for small target detection," *Scientific reports*, vol. 5, 2015.

[5] J. Gabelli and B. Reulet, "High frequency dynamics and the third cumulant of quantum noise," *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, vol. 2009, no. 01, p. P01049, 2009.

[6] E. S. Manolakos and H. M. Stellakis, "Systematic synthesis of parallel architectures for the computation of higher order cumulants," *Parallel Computing*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 655–676, 2000.

[7] R.-G. Cong and M. Brady, "The interdependence between rainfall and temperature: copula analyses," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2012, p. Article ID 405675, 2012.

[8] K. Domino, T. Błachowicz, and M. Ciupak, "The use of copula functions for predictive analysis of correlations between extreme storm tides," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol. 413, p. 489–497, 2014.

[9] B. Ozga-Zielinski, M. Ciupak, J. Adamowski, B. Khalil, and J. Malard, "Snow-melt flood frequency analysis by means of copula based 2D probability distributions for the Narew River in Poland," *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, vol. 6, pp. 26–51, 2016.

[10] D.-B. Pougaza, A. Mohammad-Djafari, and J.-F. Bercher, "Using the notion of copula in tomography," *arXiv preprint arXiv:0812.1316*, 2008.

[11] R. J. Scherrer, A. A. Berlind, Q. Mao, and C. K. McBride, "From finance to cosmology: The copula of large-scale structure," *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, vol. 708, no. 1, p. L9, 2009.

[12] E. Eban, G. Rothschild, A. Mizrahi, I. Nelken, and G. Elidan, "Dynamic copula networks for modeling real-valued time series," in *Proceedings of the sixteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*, pp. 247–255, 2013.

[13] Y. Malevergne and D. Sornette, "Multi-moments method for portfolio management: Generalized capital asset pricing model in homogeneous and heterogeneous markets," *Available at SSRN 319544*, 2002.

[14] M. Rubinstein, E. Jurczenko, and B. Maillet, *Multi-moment asset allocation and pricing models*, vol. 399. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.

[15] M. D. Schatz, T. M. Low, R. A. van de Geijn, and T. G. Kolda, "Exploiting symmetry in tensors for high performance: Multiplication with symmetric tensors," *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. C453–C479, 2014.

[16] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and D. R. Cox, *Asymptotic techniques for use in statistics*. Chapman & Hall, 1989.

[17] M. G. Kendall *et al.*, "The advanced theory of statistics.," *The advanced theory of statistics.*, no. 2nd Ed, 1946.

[18] E. Lukacs, "Characteristics functions," *Griffin, London*, 1970.

[19] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, "Concrete mathematics: A foundation for computer science," *Addison & Wesley*, 1989.

[20] T. G. Kolda and B. W. Bader, "Tensor decompositions and applications," *SIAM review*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 455–500, 2009.

[21] K. Domino, P. Gawron, and Ł. Pawela, "SymmetricTensors.jl." https://github.com/kdomino/SymmetricTensors.jl, 2017.

[22] K. Domino, P. Gawron, and Ł. Pawela, "Cummulants.jl." https://github.com/kdomino/Cumulants.jl, 2017.

[23] D. E. Knuth, *The art of computer programming: sorting and searching*, vol. 3B. Pearson Education, 1998.

[24] J. Bezanson, S. Karpinski, V. B. Shah, and A. Edelman, "Julia: A fast dynamic language for technical computing," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1209.5145*, 2012.

[25] J. Bezanson, A. Edelman, S. Karpinski, and V. B. Shah, "Julia: A fresh approach to numerical computing," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1607*, 2014.

[26] J. Bezanson, J. Chen, S. Karpinski, V. Shah, and A. Edelman, "Array operators using multiple dispatch: A design methodology for array implementations in dynamic languages," in *Proceedings of ACM SIGPLAN International Workshop on Libraries, Languages, and Compilers for Array Programming*, p. 56, ACM, 2014.